



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAPD OFFICER TONI MCBRIDE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHIEF OF POLICE MICHEL MOORE;
LOS ANGELES POLICE
DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:23-CV-02904-RKG-
JPR

VERDICT FORM

REDACTED

Trial: April 2, 2024

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

VERDICT FORM

WE, THE JURY, in the above-entitled action, unanimously answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

Question 1.

Did Defendant Michel Moore take an adverse employment action against Plaintiff?

Answer: Yes _____ No ☒ _____

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, then answer Question 2. If you answered "No" to Question 1 then stop here and date and sign this form.

Question 2.

Was Plaintiff's speech a substantial or motivating factor for the adverse employment action?

Answer: Yes _____ No _____

If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, then answer Question 3. If you answered "No" to Question 2 then stop here and date and sign this form.

Question 3.

Would Defendants have taken the adverse employment action even absent Plaintiff's speech?

Answer: Yes _____ No _____

If you answered "No" to Question 3, then answer Question 4. If you answered "Yes" to Question 3 then stop here and date and sign this form.

Question 4.

Did Defendants have a legitimate administrative interest in restricting Plaintiff's speech?

Answer: Yes _____ No _____

Proceed to Question 5.

Question 5.

When Defendant Michel Moore took the adverse employment action against Plaintiff, was he acting as a final policymaker for Defendant City of Los Angeles?

Answer: Yes _____ No _____

Proceed to Question 6.

Question 6.

Was Defendant Michel Moore's conduct malicious, oppressive, or in reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights?

Answer: Yes _____ No _____

Proceed to Question 7.

Question 7.

What do you find to be the total amount of damages, if any, suffered by Plaintiff?

TOTAL: \$ _____

DATED: 4/4/2024

REDACTED BY THE COURT

FOREPERSON